

County Counsel

RESOLUTION REQUESTING SUPPORT FROM THE NEW JERSEY BOARD OF
PUBLIC UTILITIES REGARDING JUDGE GAIL COOKSON'S DECISION
ON THE JCP&L RELIABILITY PROJECT

WHEREAS, Jersey Central Power & Light Company ("JCP&L") announced plans for a 230 kilovolt transmission line with 140 foot high monopoles along the New Jersey Transit right-of-way running through portions of the County of Monmouth, known as the JCP&L Reliability Project ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, a similar project was proposed by JCP&L in 1989, but was withdrawn in the face of overwhelming opposition; and

WHEREAS, the Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Monmouth has serious concerns related to the health, safety and aesthetics of JCP&L's recent plan; and

WHEREAS, it is the ruling by Judge Gail Cookson that JCP&L has not met its burden of proof; and

WHEREAS, the utility also failed to give much more than "little or no consideration" to alternative corridors and ignored non-transmission solutions entirely.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Board of Chosen Freeholders of the County of Monmouth formally requests the full support from the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities to abide by Judge Cookson's decision; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this resolution shall be sent to Diane Solomon, Commissioner of the New Jersey Board of Public Utilities, urging her to accept Judge Gail

Introduced on: April 11, 2018
Adopted on: April 11, 2018
Official Resolution#: 2018-0312

Cookson's decision to not grant JCP&L the approval to proceed with the proposed Reliability Project; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Clerk forward a certified true copy of this resolution to the Governor of the State of New Jersey; President of the New Jersey State Senate; the Speaker of the General Assembly; Monmouth County Legislative Delegation; all Monmouth County municipalities; Executive Director of New Jersey Transit; Jersey Central Power & Light Company; County Administrator; County Counsel; and all other Boards of Chosen Freeholders.



SALEM COUNTY
Office of Economic Development, Cultural Affairs and Tourism
ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, 94 MARKET STREET, SALEM, NEW JERSEY 08079
856.935.7510 x 8384

Memo

To: Salem County Board of Chosen Freeholders
From: Kathy Mills, Director of Economic Development, Cultural Affairs & Tourism
Date: May 10, 2018
RE: April 19 Meeting of the Salem County Economic Development Council

Salem Community College is undertaking a Regional Impact Study which currently focuses on education and its economic impact in Salem County. With additional financial support from the County more information can be gathered and analyzed which will inform Economic Development decisions now and into the near future. Data will be shared with the municipalities.

Members of the Salem County Economic Development Council voted to recommend that the Freeholders support this project in the amount of \$5,000.00. They are hopeful that the Freeholders will consider this request at their next scheduled meeting and give me the go ahead for a resolution.

Thank you.





Salem County Clerk
110 Fifth Street; Suite 200 • Salem, New Jersey 08079
856-935-7510 • Fax 856-935-8882
www.salemcountyclerk.org



Gilda T. Gill
County Clerk
Extension 8605

Kathleen S. Sheffield
Chief of Admin. Services
Extension 8300

Paul L. Reed
Deputy Clerk
Extension 8208

CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that at the Primary Election held in the County of Salem, State of New Jersey, on Tuesday June 5, 2018, the following officers were duly elected to represent their respective political party at the General Election to be held on November 6, 2018.


<u>NAME</u>	<u>OFFICE</u>	<u>POLITICAL PARTY</u>
Chuck Miller	Sheriff	Republican
Bob Gant	Sheriff	Democratic
Ben Laury	Board of Chosen Freeholders	Republican
Mickey Ostrum	Board of Chosen Freeholders	Republican
Melissa DeCastro	Board of Chosen Freeholders	Democratic
Jesse Stemberger	Board of Chosen Freeholders	Democratic

The following will appear on the November 6, 2018 General Election Ballot by virtue of Direct Nomination by Petitions:

Ken James Board of Chosen Freeholders

This certification is based upon the results of the Primary Election and is issued to the Clerk of the Board of Chosen Freeholders. In Witness Whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 11th day of June, 2018.


Gilda T. Gill, Salem County Clerk



WE DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, full and correct statement of the results of the election herein mentioned, as the same is exhibited by the statement produced and laid before the board of county canvassers according to law, and that the same exhibits the number of the names in the signature copy registers and the registers of voters, the number of names of the voters in the poll books of the election districts, respectively, and the ballots rejected, the whole number of the names in the signature copy registers and the registers of voters, the whole number of names of the voters in the poll books of the several election districts, the name of each person for whom any vote or votes cast, the number of votes cast for each person in each election district, and the whole number of votes cast for each person for each office designated, and also specifies each public question voted upon and the number of votes cast thereupon in each election district respectively, and the whole number of votes cast thereupon in several election districts as they appear by the statements so produces and laid before said Board.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, we have hereunto set out hands this eleventh day of June, two-thousand and eighteen.

David Crescenzi Chairperson, Board of County Canvassers
David Crescenzi

Scott E. Hourigan Member, Board of County Canvassers
Scott E. Hourigan

Dana L. Mulligan Member, Board of County Canvassers
Dana L. Mulligan

William Higgins Member, Board of County Canvassers
William Higgins

Attest: Gilda T. Gill
Gilda T. Gill - Clerk, Board of County Canvassers

A STATEMENT of the determination of the Board of County Canvassers, relative to an election held in the County of Salem on the Fifth day of June, Two Thousand Eighteen.

2018 PRIMARY ELECTION

I DO HEREBY CERTIFY that the foregoing is a true, full and correct statement of the determination of the board of canvassers therein mentioned.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this Eleventh day of June, two thousand eighteen.

David Crescenzi Chairperson, Board of County Canvassers
David Crescenzi

Attest: Gilda T. Gill
Gilda T. Gill, Clerk, Board of County Canvassers

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

Democratic Party Registration & Turnout		13,795	Voters
Election Day Turnout		2,431	17.62%
Mail-In Ballot Turnout		133	0.96%
Provisional Turnout		8	0.06%
Emergency Turnout		0	0.00%
Total ...		2,572	18.64%
Republican Party Registration & Turnout		10,854	Voters
Election Day Turnout		1,956	18.02%
Mail-In Ballot Turnout		63	0.58%
Provisional Turnout		0	0.00%
Emergency Turnout		0	0.00%
Total ...		2,019	18.60%
NON PARTISAN Registration & Turnout		0	Voters
Election Day Turnout		0	0.00%
Mail-In Ballot Turnout		0	0.00%
Provisional Turnout		0	0.00%
Emergency Turnout		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
Registration & Turnout		44,620	Voters
Election Day Turnout		4,387	9.83%
Mail-In Ballot Turnout		196	0.44%
Provisional Turnout		8	0.02%
Emergency Turnout		0	0.00%
Total ...		4,591	10.29%
DEM - UNITED STATES SENATE		(45)	45/45 100.00%
LISA A. McCORMICK		1,347	55.02%
ROBERT MENENDEZ		1,083	44.24%
WRITE-IN		18	0.74%
Total ...		2,448	100.00%
DEM - UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES		(45)	45/45 100.00%
WILLIAM CUNNINGHAM		818	33.68%
JEFF VAN DREW		843	34.71%
TANZIRA "TANZIE" YOUNGBLOOD		488	20.09%
NATE KLEINMAN		268	11.03%
WRITE-IN		12	0.49%
Total ...		2,429	100.00%
DEM - SHERIFF		(45)	45/45 100.00%
BOB GANT		2,259	98.26%
WRITE-IN		40	1.74%
Total ...		2,299	100.00%
DEM - BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS		(45)	45/45 100.00%
MELISSA DeCASTRO		2,206	51.81%
JESSE STEMBERGER		2,005	47.09%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

DEM - BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS (continued...)	(45)	45/45	100.00%
WRITE-IN		47	1.10%
	Total ...	4,258	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR ALLOWAY	(2)	2/2	100.00%
EDWIN P. MASKER		113	98.26%
WRITE-IN		2	1.74%
	Total ...	115	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR CARNEYS POINT	(5)	5/5	100.00%
PATRICK BOMBA		309	97.78%
WRITE-IN		7	2.22%
	Total ...	316	100.00%
DEM - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR ELMER	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
	Total ...	6	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR ELSINBORO	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		9	100.00%
	Total ...	9	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR LOWER ALLOWAYS CREEK	(2)	2/2	100.00%
TIMOTHY W. BRADWAY		59	85.51%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		10	14.49%
	Total ...	69	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR MANNINGTON	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN R. EMEL		48	94.12%
WRITE-IN		3	5.88%
	Total ...	51	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR OLDMANS	(2)	2/2	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		15	100.00%
	Total ...	15	100.00%
DEM - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR PENNS GROVE	(3)	3/3	100.00%
DEBORAH SCOTT		155	37.99%
RAFAEL LEON		146	35.78%
ROXZANNE JOHNSON		100	24.51%
WRITE-IN		7	1.72%
	Total ...	408	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PENNSVILLE	(9)	9/9	100.00%
RICHIE RAINE		336	97.96%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PENNSVILLE (continued...)	(9)	9/9	100.00%
WRITE-IN		7	2.04%
Total ...		343	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PILESGROVE	(3)	3/3	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		18	100.00%
Total ...		18	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PITTSBORO	(6)	6/6	100.00%
ANGELA BARDOE		351	98.87%
WRITE-IN		4	1.13%
Total ...		355	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR QUINTON	(2)	2/2	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		8	100.00%
Total ...		8	100.00%
DEM - MAYOR FOR CITY OF SALEM	(4)	4/4	100.00%
CHARLES WASHINGTON, JR.		189	90.87%
WRITE-IN		19	9.13%
Total ...		208	100.00%
DEM - CITY COUNCIL FOR SALEM EAST	(2)	2/2	100.00%
TIMOTHY H. GREGORY, JR.		118	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		118	100.00%
DEM - CITY COUNCIL FOR SALEM WEST	(2)	2/2	100.00%
EARL R. GAGE		100	98.04%
WRITE-IN		2	1.96%
Total ...		102	100.00%
DEM - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR UPPER PITTSBORO	(2)	2/2	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		7	100.00%
Total ...		7	100.00%
DEM - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR WOODSTOWN	(2)	2/2	100.00%
BRETT ROWAND		180	48.78%
STACY SHORTER-CARNEY		186	50.41%
WRITE-IN		3	0.81%
Total ...		369	100.00%
REP - UNITED STATES SENATE	(45)	45/45	100.00%
BOB HUGIN		1,357	69.55%
BRIAN D. GOLDBERG		587	30.09%
WRITE-IN		7	0.36%
Total ...		1,951	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - UNITED STATES HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES	(45)	45/45	100.00%
SAMUEL FIOCCHI		723	37.17%
HIRSH V. SINGH		590	30.33%
SETH GROSSMAN		549	28.23%
ROBERT D. TURKAVAGE		78	4.01%
WRITE-IN		5	0.26%
Total ...		1,945	100.00%
REP - SHERIFF	(45)	45/45	100.00%
CHUCK MILLER		1,866	97.70%
WRITE-IN		44	2.30%
Total ...		1,910	100.00%
REP - BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS	(45)	45/45	100.00%
BEN LAURY		1,824	50.67%
MICKEY OSTRUM		1,739	48.31%
WRITE-IN		37	1.03%
Total ...		3,600	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR ALLOWAY	(2)	2/2	100.00%
P. ED McKELVEY		153	98.71%
WRITE-IN		2	1.29%
Total ...		155	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR CARNEYS POINT	(5)	5/5	100.00%
KENNETH R. DENNIS		171	99.42%
WRITE-IN		1	0.58%
Total ...		172	100.00%
REP - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR ELMER	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JAMES W. ZEE, III		55	49.55%
LEWIS M. SCHNEIDER		56	50.45%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		111	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR ELSINBORO	(1)	1/1	100.00%
SEAN M. ELWELL		64	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		64	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR LOWER ALLOWAYS CREEK	(2)	2/2	100.00%
ELLEN B. POMPPER		68	86.08%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		11	13.92%
Total ...		79	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR MANNINGTON	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		14	100.00%
Total ...		14	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR OLDMANS	(2)	2/2	100.00%
ANTHONY MUSUMECI, JR.		83	97.65%
WRITE-IN		2	2.35%
	Total ...	85	100.00%
REP - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR PENNS GROVE	(3)	3/3	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
	Total ...	0	0.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PENNSVILLE	(9)	9/9	100.00%
SCOTT HUTTON		385	96.72%
WRITE-IN		5	1.28%
	Total ...	390	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PILESGROVE	(3)	3/3	100.00%
KEVIN EACHUS		167	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
	Total ...	167	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR PITTS GROVE	(6)	6/6	100.00%
FRANCESCA I. SPINELLI		259	99.62%
WRITE-IN		1	0.38%
	Total ...	260	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR QUINTON	(2)	2/2	100.00%
JOSEPH J. HANNAGAN, JR.		95	98.96%
WRITE-IN		1	1.04%
	Total ...	96	100.00%
REP - MAYOR FOR CITY OF SALEM	(4)	4/4	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		9	100.00%
	Total ...	9	100.00%
REP - CITY COUNCIL FOR SALEM EAST	(2)	2/2	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
	Total ...	3	100.00%
REP - CITY COUNCIL FOR SALEM WEST	(2)	2/2	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
	Total ...	3	100.00%
REP - TOWNSHIP COMMITTEE FOR UPPER PITTS GROVE	(2)	2/2	100.00%
JACK CIMPRICH		152	48.87%
BRUCE W. BISHOP		158	50.80%
WRITE-IN		1	0.32%
	Total ...	311	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - BOROUGH COUNCIL FOR WOODSTOWN	(2)	2/2	100.00%
GLENN MERKLE		110	51.89%
MICHAEL QUINNETTE		102	48.11%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		212	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Alloway District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MATTHEW B. GREEVER		57	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		57	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Alloway District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PHILIP J. DONOHUE		80	96.39%
WRITE-IN		3	3.61%
Total ...		83	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Alloway District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Alloway District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CATHY H. DEHART		81	97.59%
WRITE-IN		2	2.41%
Total ...		83	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Alloway District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		13	100.00%
Total ...		13	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Alloway District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		10	100.00%
Total ...		10	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Alloway District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		13	100.00%
Total ...		13	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Alloway District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		4	100.00%
Total ...		4	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
G. RICHARD GATANIS		65	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		65	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOSEPH F. RACITE		47	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		47	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ARDITH L. RACITE		47	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		47	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PATRICK BOMBA		45	97.83%
WRITE-IN		1	2.17%
Total ...		46	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PATRICIA BOMBA		46	97.87%
WRITE-IN		1	2.13%
Total ...		47	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MARY PAT DAILY		26	96.30%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 3 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		1	3.70%
Total ...		27	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 4	{1}	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		15	100.00%
Total ...		15	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		13	100.00%
Total ...		13	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 4	{1}	1/1	100.00%
NELLIE E. RODRIGUEZ		108	97.30%
WRITE-IN		3	2.70%
Total ...		111	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		11	100.00%
Total ...		11	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 5	{1}	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		12	100.00%
Total ...		12	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Carneys Point Dist. 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ELEANOR I. WASHINGTON		55	96.49%
WRITE-IN		2	3.51%
Total ...		57	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Carneys Point Dist. 5	{1}	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Elmer District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
LEWIS REED		50	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		50	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Elmer District 1	{1}	1/1	100.00%
STEVEN A. WILLIAMS		59	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Elmer District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		59	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Elmer District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MARY T. QUINN		49	98.00%
WRITE-IN		1	2.00%
Total ...		50	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Elmer District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DAWN M. WILLIAMS		59	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		59	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Elsinboro District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
LEE R. WARE		60	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		60	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Elsinboro District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		5	100.00%
Total ...		5	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Elsinboro District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
IRENE R. WANNER		54	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		54	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Elsinboro District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PEGGY HALSTEAD		62	98.41%
WRITE-IN		1	1.59%
Total ...		63	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		17	100.00%
Total ...		17	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		22	100.00%
Total ...		22	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DAVID L. DONELSON		14	63.64%
WRITE-IN		8	36.36%
Total ...		22	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		13	100.00%
Total ...		13	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
GAIL B. DONELSON		14	73.68%
WRITE-IN		5	26.32%
Total ...		19	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Lower Alloways Cr Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		10	100.00%
Total ...		10	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Mannington District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		7	100.00%
Total ...		7	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Mannington District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DAVID L. CADWALLADER		60	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		60	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Mannington District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		4	100.00%
Total ...		4	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Mannington District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
FLORENCE BUTLER		60	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		60	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Oldmans District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		10	100.00%
Total ...		10	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Oldmans District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM A. KEATING		46	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Oldmans District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		46	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Oldmans District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		11	100.00%
Total ...		11	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Oldmans District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
V. SUSAN MILLER		47	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		47	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Oldmans District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Oldmans District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOSEPH S. McKIBBIN		38	97.44%
WRITE-IN		1	2.56%
Total ...		39	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Oldmans District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CAROL L. CALTABIANO		37	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		37	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Oldmans District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DOLORES M. BRADFORD		37	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		37	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DONALD BRAXTON		76	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		76	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DORRAINE ROBINSON		74	98.67%
WRITE-IN		1	1.33%
Total ...		75	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM BURL		46	85.19%
WRITE-IN		8	14.81%
Total ...		54	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CHERYL GEORGES		49	90.74%
WRITE-IN		5	9.26%
Total ...		54	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN WASHINGTON		69	97.18%
WRITE-IN		2	2.82%
Total ...		71	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Penns Grove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
LUCILLE BROWN		73	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		73	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Penns Grove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN R. HUMPHREYS II		33	97.06%
WRITE-IN		1	2.94%
Total ...		34	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
KIMBERLY HUMPHREYS		35	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		35	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DARA JACK RUIZ		28	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		28	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN J. CRAWFORD		40	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		40	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ROBERT E. JACK		35	92.11%
WRITE-IN		3	7.89%
Total ...		38	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MARY MURPHY		43	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		43	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JACQUELINE B. JACK		35	92.11%
WRITE-IN		3	7.89%
Total ...		38	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
RICHIE RAINE		14	93.33%
WRITE-IN		1	6.67%
Total ...		15	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
J. ELAINE RAINE		14	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		14	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 3 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		4	100.00%
Total ...		4	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DAVID CRESCENZI		45	97.83%
WRITE-IN		1	2.17%
Total ...		46	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CHARLES HUGHES		72	97.30%
WRITE-IN		2	2.70%
Total ...		74	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CAROL WADDINGTON		46	97.87%
WRITE-IN		1	2.13%
Total ...		47	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DIANA HUGHES-GUZMAN		71	98.61%
WRITE-IN		1	1.39%
Total ...		72	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN A. HOEHN		46	95.83%
WRITE-IN		2	4.17%
Total ...		48	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CHRISTINE HOEHN		45	97.83%
WRITE-IN		1	2.17%
Total ...		46	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		5	100.00%
Total ...		5	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
BRIAN A. CRIST		45	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 6 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		45	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		5	100.00%
Total ...		5	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 7	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 7	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WALTER FARNKOPF, SR.		34	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		34	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 7	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 7	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 8	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 8	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ROBERT McDADE		38	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		38	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 8	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 8	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MARSHALEE McDADE		37	100.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 8 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		37	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 9	(1)	1/1	100.00%
GUY WADDINGTON		46	97.87%
WRITE-IN		1	2.13%
Total ...		47	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pennsville Dist 9	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		17	100.00%
Total ...		17	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 9	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JEANMAIRE WADDINGTON		46	97.87%
WRITE-IN		1	2.13%
Total ...		47	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pennsville Dist 9	(1)	1/1	100.00%
TAMARA CHASTAIN		56	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		56	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOHN D. BURKE		57	98.28%
WRITE-IN		1	1.72%
Total ...		58	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		11	100.00%
Total ...		11	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
BETH E. TIMBERMAN		60	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		60	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		8	100.00%
Total ...		8	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 2 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		4	100.00%
Total ...		4	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
CAREY ITALIANO		55	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		55	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pilesgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pilesgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ROBERT HOBAN		29	96.67%
WRITE-IN		1	3.33%
Total ...		30	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DAWN HOBAN		29	96.67%
WRITE-IN		1	3.33%
Total ...		30	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
STEVEN P. DiMATTEO		62	98.41%
WRITE-IN		1	1.59%
Total ...		63	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WAYNE B. KNERR		39	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		39	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PEGGY DiMATTEO		61	98.39%
WRITE-IN		1	1.61%
Total ...		62	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM JONES		70	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		70	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
LINDA JONES		70	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		70	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 3	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NORMAN LENCHITZ		72	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		72	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 4 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
TERESITA LENCHITZ		71	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		71	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 4	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JAKE BOTTICELLO		55	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		55	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
FREDERICK I. LANING, JR.		43	97.73%
WRITE-IN		1	2.27%
Total ...		44	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
KATHRYN MAE CHILA		54	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		54	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 5	(1)	1/1	100.00%
HAZEL P. LANING		43	97.73%
WRITE-IN		1	2.27%
Total ...		44	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
PETER I. VOROS		66	97.06%
WRITE-IN		2	2.94%
Total ...		68	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Pittsgrove District 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		5	100.00%
Total ...		5	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ANGELA S. VOROS		65	97.01%
WRITE-IN		2	2.99%
Total ...		67	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 6	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Pittsgrove District 6 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Quinton District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		4	100.00%
Total ...		4	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Quinton District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM McDONALD		61	98.39%
WRITE-IN		1	1.61%
Total ...		62	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Quinton District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Quinton District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		5	100.00%
Total ...		5	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Quinton District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
REGINALD BOUNDS		39	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		39	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Quinton District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Quinton District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOAN BOUNDS		38	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		38	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Quinton District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem East District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		8	100.00%
Total ...		8	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem East District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem East District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem East District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem East District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem East District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM CORBIN		55	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		55	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem East District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem East District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		9	100.00%
Total ...		9	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem East District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem West District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
EARL R. GAGE		49	98.00%
WRITE-IN		1	2.00%
Total ...		50	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem West District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		0	0.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem West District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
EVELYN SHUMAN		50	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		50	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem West District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem West District 1 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem West District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Salem West District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem West District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
KAREN ROOTS		45	97.83%
WRITE-IN		1	2.17%
Total ...		46	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Salem West District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		10	100.00%
Total ...		10	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
Total ...		1	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		3	100.00%
Total ...		3	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WILLIAM HIGGINS		48	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		48	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 2 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		6	100.00%
Total ...		6	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
BETH HIGGINS		48	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		48	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Upper Pittsgrove Dist 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		11	100.00%
Total ...		11	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Woodstown District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JOSEPH HILES		111	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		111	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Woodstown District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
ED SEGREST		83	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		83	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Woodstown District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
MICHELE CALTABIANO		113	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		113	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Woodstown District 1	(1)	1/1	100.00%
DEBBY DIETRICH		83	100.00%
WRITE-IN		0	0.00%
Total ...		83	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Woodstown District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
JESSE STEMBERGER		82	97.62%
WRITE-IN		2	2.38%
Total ...		84	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE MALE - Woodstown District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%
WRITE-IN		2	100.00%
Total ...		2	100.00%
DEM - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Woodstown District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
BRENDA HALL		85	98.84%
WRITE-IN		1	1.16%
Total ...		86	100.00%
REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Woodstown District 2	(1)	1/1	100.00%
NO PETITION FILED		0	0.00%

SAL_20180605_E

June 5, 2018

Summary Report

Salem County, NJ

Official Results

REP - COUNTY COMMITTEE FEMALE - Woodstown District 2 (continued...)	(1)	1/1	100.00%
WRITE-IN		1	100.00%
	Total ...	1	100.00%

PBA LOCAL 105 GOLF OUTING
Formerly the Fred Baker Memorial Golf Outing

42 West Lafayette Street

Trenton, NJ 08608

Phone: 609-396-8688

You are always in our hearts and minds

You will never be forgotten

Dear Faithful Sponsors,

Thank you for supporting the PBA Local 105 Golf Outing. We appreciate the support you have given in the past and we are asking for your continued generosity. PBA Local 105, representing NJ State Corrections, Parole and Juvenile Justice Officers will continue the funding of scholarships in honor of Fred Baker and will be organizing the golf outing this year.

The Fred Baker Fund was founded in 1998 after the tragic death of Officer Baker in the line of duty. This fund has lasted over 20 years and provided scholarships to students in New Jersey in every County with almost \$200,000 in scholarships distributed. This is all because of your support.

Golfers are able to enjoy the day while supporting this great cause all while being eligible to win fantastic prizes valued at over \$75,000.

The PBA Local Golf Tournament in memory of Officer Fred Baker will be held at the White Oaks Country Club at 2951 Dutch Mill Road in Newfield, NJ. The date is Monday, June 25, 2018. Hot dogs and Hamburgers will be served from 11am to noon as golfers register. Once the tournament ends there will be a steak dinner to follow.

Enclosed you will find a sponsorship form as well as a golf application for anyone interested in playing. With your Hole-In-One Sponsorship there will be a sign placed on one of the golf holes showing your support. With a Full Corporate Sponsorship (\$5,000) you will be given 2 team slots (8 golfers) and a banner will be hung at the golf course showing you as a Full Corporate Sponsor. With a Corporate Sponsorship (\$2,500) you will be given one team slot, (4 golfers) along with a banner as a way of showing our appreciation for your support. In addition, there will be a flyer given to all who attend listing sponsors and encouraging them to support your business or service.

Your sponsorship is critical to the support of the PBA Local 105 Scholarship Fund. We hope you will continue with your support to allow us to provide scholarships to students pursuing a career in Law Enforcement/Fire Rescue. We all benefit as these students are now graduating from college and becoming State Troopers, Municipal Police Officers, Forensic Scientists and the like. They will be working in our State and our communities and it is only due to your loyal support.

Thank you in advance for your support.

PBA Local 105 Foundation

FRED BAKER MEMORIAL GOLF TOURNAMENT

13TH ANNUAL

White Oaks Country Club
2951 Dutch Mill Road
Newfield, NJ

\$150 Per Person

June 25, 2018
Shot Gun Start at **7am sharp**

Hole in one prizes on par 3 holes, Steak Dinner, Beer and other beverages,
Longest Drives, Putting Contest, Chipping Contest, Raffles, 50/50 on par 3 holes,
Trophies, 50/50 (3 prizes worth a total of \$2,500)

Make checks payable to:
PBA Local 105 Foundation
(checks must come with application)

Mail to:
PBA Local 105
42 W. Lafayette St.
Trenton, NJ 08608

For Information call: Todd McConnell
609-658-0300
Email: tmccconnell@njpbalocal105.com

ENTRY DEADLINE JUNE 20, 2018

Four-Man Team Scramble Format

CAPTAIN: _____ PHONE _____ SHIRT _____
_____ PHONE _____ SHIRT _____
_____ PHONE _____ SHIRT _____
_____ PHONE _____ SHIRT _____

PBA LOCAL 105 FOUNDATION GOLF TOURNAMENT

In Honor of Officer Fred Baker

White Oaks Country Club

2951 Dutch Mill Road

Newfield, NJ

Monday, June 25, 2018

Sponsorship Form

I would like to sponsor a Bronze Hole at \$100 per hole \$ _____

I would like to sponsor a Silver Hole at \$250 \$ _____

I would like to sponsor a Gold Hole at \$500 per hole \$ _____

I would like to sponsor a Platinum Hole at \$1,000 per hole \$ _____

I would like to sponsor a Diamond Hole at \$1,500 per hole \$ _____

I would like to become a Corporate Sponsor at \$2,500 \$ _____

I would like to become a Full Corporate Sponsor at \$5,000 \$ _____

I would like to sponsor a hole in one prize _____

I would like to donate a prize of _____

Name _____

Organization _____

Address _____

Telephone _____

Checks can be made payable to:

PBA Local 105 Foundation

42 W. Lafayette Street

Trenton, NJ 08608

For more information call Todd McConnell 609-658-0300



JERLENE H. WORTHY, CLERK
BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS

COUNTY OF MERCER
McDADE ADMINISTRATION BUILDING
640 SOUTH BROAD STREET
P.O. BOX 8068
TRENTON, NEW JERSEY 08650-0068
(609) 989-6584
Fax: (609) 392-0488

LISA M. VENA
DEPUTY CLERK

May 25, 2018

Mayor Liz Lempert
Princeton
400 Witherspoon Street
Princeton, NJ 08540

Dear Mayor Lempert,

Enclosed please find a copy of Resolution No. 2018-261, strongly opposing New Jersey American Water's proposed twelve percent rate increase.

This Resolution was adopted by the Mercer County Board of Chosen Freeholders at their Formal Meeting held on May 24, 2018.

The Freeholders of this County are deeply concerned about this matter and assure that your support is appreciated.

Very truly yours,


Jerlene H. Worthy
Clerk to the Board
Mercer County Board
Of Chosen Freeholders


JHW/gb

Encls. (1)

cc: Mercer County Legislative Delegation
New Jersey Freeholder Board Clerks

Approved as to Form and Legality

Date



May 24, 2018

BOARD COUNSEL

MERCER COUNTY BOARD OF CHOSEN FREEHOLDERS
OPPOSES NEW JERSEY AMERICAN WATER'S PROPOSED
TWELVE PERCENT RATE INCREASE

WHEREAS, New Jersey American Water is the state of New Jersey's largest water provider, serving 631,000 water customers and 46,000 wastewater customers in 191 communities and 18 counties, accounting for an estimated total of 2.7 million people; and,

WHEREAS, New Jersey American Water notified customers of a twelve percent rate increase that will take effect on June 15, 2018; and,

WHEREAS, the new rates that will take effect in June will amount to a monthly increase of \$5.90 for an average customer using 6,000 gallons of water; and,

WHEREAS, the rate increase is subject requires approval from the Board of Public Utilities, but NJ American Water is able to implement the new rate provisional assuming the BPU signs off; and,

WHEREAS, should the BPU reject the proposed increase, NJ American Water will refund the extra money they collect; and,

WHEREAS, the Mercer County Board of Chosen Freeholders believes an immediate twelve percent increase instead of a gradual increase may cause a negative economic impact on its customers; now, therefore,

Clerk to the Board


RECORD OF VOTE													
FREEHOLDER	Aye	Nay	N.V.	Abs.	Res.	Sec.	FREEHOLDER	Aye	Nay	N.V.	Abs.	Res.	Sec.
Cannon	X						Koontz	X					
Cimino	X						Verrelli	X					
Colavita	X				✓		Walter	X					
Frisby	X					✓							

X—Indicates Vote Abs.—Absent N.V.—Not Voting
Res.—Resolution Moved Sec.—Resolution Seconded

-2-

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Mercer County Board of Chosen Freeholders does hereby oppose New Jersey American Water's proposed 12 percent rate increase and urges the BPU to deny the company's application to permanently raise its rates; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk to the Board shall forward a certified copy of this Resolution to the Mercer County Legislative Delegation, the Clerk of the Boards of Chosen Freeholders in all twenty-one counties in the State of New Jersey, and Princeton Mayor Liz Lampert.


.....
Clerk to the Board

N J Division of Elections
P.O. Box 304
Trenton, New Jersey 080625-0304

June 6, 2018

IN RE: Primary of June 5th, Pilesgrove Township, at Sharptown Methodist Church

I am concerned and confused concerning a Salem County employee's behavior during the election.

Can you help me ?? I wonder was I threatened ??

About 5:15Pm, after about 15 > 20 minutes, I asked Ms. Catalano (? Spelling) to return her voting 'card', because she had been speaking with a 'friend of many minutes –[I was afraid her 'card' would be unusable, because of the time delay...between issue and usage...]

Ms. Catalano (? spelling) stated that I am the 'Election Clerk'. Then, she called out to the County solicitor [Mr. Mulligan, who was trying to vote], stating that "... he has my back; he will do anything I ask of him..." [sic: was this a threat ?]

After her friend left [another 5 > 10 minutes], she handed me her voting card to be reauthorized.

I, then, asked if I could ask her a question [after she had voted].

I asked that we go away [sic: about 25 feet] from the table and voting machines, then, I asked if it was appropriate for someone to hold a conversation near [sic: within about 5 feet] the poll workers table and voting machines ?? [sic; many voters had had to make their way 'around' the friends]

Her reply was, "... I don't know."

I thanked her; and stated, "I got my answer", and walked away.

After a brief moment, she came after me and asked who I was; I gave a business card (enclosed).

A very concerned poll worker,

Ronald G. Stockwell, MA, MBA
256 Dixie Drive
Carneys Point, New Jersey 08069

Cc: Mr. Mulligan, County Solicitor, C/O County Freeholder Board (plus 1 copy)
N. J. Attorney General
File

P.S. Another poll worker tried to remind me that rules do not apply to 'some' people.

6-5-2018

5:15 PM

about 20 min

after beginning her conversion
session w/ friend -

"I'm Clerk of Elections..."
Catalan

w/in 8-10 of election

mechanics -
people had to go around.

I gave her my

b-card

R.G. Stockwell

Stockwell
North Church

Ronald G. Stockwell
256 Dixie Drive
Carneys Point, New Jersey 08069

rgstockwell@excite.com

**TOWNSHIP OF ALLOWAY, NJ
RESOLUTION 18-63**

**A RESOLUTION URGING GOVERNOR MURPHY TO SIGN ASSEMBLY
BILL A3724 / SENATE BILL S2313 ESTABLISHING THE ZERO EMISSION
CERTIFICATE PROGRAM FOR NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS**

WHEREAS, the Township Committee of the Township of Alloway recognizes the benefit that the PSEG Nuclear, LLC power plants have been to our township by contributing millions to our local and regional economy, supporting 5,800 jobs with 1,600 direct jobs at the plants, many of those employed being municipalities within Salem County, and;

WHEREAS, the Township Committee of the Township of Alloway further recognizes that the power plants have proven to be good neighbors dedicated to safe operations and supporting many volunteer and non-profit organizations within our region's community, and;

WHEREAS, the Township Committee of the Township of Alloway desires to see the nuclear power plants continue to be viable Zero Emission Energy providers, protecting our environment by providing more than 90 percent of New Jersey's carbon free electricity, for 3 million homes.

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED by the Township Committee of the Township of Alloway that it does hereby urge Governor Phil Murphy to sign the Zero Energy Emission Certificate Program for nuclear power plants legislation.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this resolution be forwarded to New Jersey Governor Phil Murphy, Senate President Stephen M. Sweeney and all the municipalities within Salem County.

Adopted: May 17, 2018

ATTEST:


Charlet Cheeseman, Municipal Clerk

TOWNSHIP OF ALLOWAY

By: 
K. Myrle Patrick, Mayor

CERTIFICATION

I, Charlet Cheeseman, Municipal Clerk, hereby certify that the foregoing Resolution was duly adopted by the Township Committee of the Township of Alloway, in the County of Salem and State of New Jersey at a Regular Meeting held May 17, 2018 at the Municipal Building, 49 S. Greenwich St., Alloway, New Jersey.


Charlet Cheeseman, RMC, Municipal Clerk

June 14, 2018

Clerk of the Board
Board of Chosen Freeholders
County of Salem
Salem, New Jersey

Re: Correspondence to the Board
Request for Use of Old Courthouse

Attached as correspondence to the Board, kindly find a draft version of the Greater West Jersey Economic Development Master Plan as prepared by me. Please refer to page 2 of the report for instructions and comment period. Additionally, would you also forward copy to your Planning Board Committee for their review.

Per the instruction page, I would like to request use of the Old Courthouse for a discussion forum on the merits of the plan and subsequent revision guidance. I would like the date of Thursday, July 19, 2018 at 10:00am. I do not expect the discussion to last more than 2 hours.

Respectfully Submitted,

Edward A. Ramsay
473 Buck Road
Pittsgrove, NJ 08318

Greater West Jersey Region
Where People and Commerce Drive Success and Well-Being

**Comprehensive
Economic Development
Master Plan
2018**

Come East to West Jersey

Salem City, NJ
West Jersey's Port of Entry

Draft Copy Prepared by:
Edward A. Ramsay, B.S.
Greater West Jersey Stakeholder
Copyright June 13, 2018, All Rights Reserved

Greater West Jersey Region
Where People and Commerce Drive Success and Well-Being

Comprehensive
Economic Development Master Plan

1st Draft

Instructions to the Reader

Attached please find a 1st draft of the Greater West Jersey Region, Economic Development Master Plan. This plan is absent bullet statements, headers, graphs, charts, maps and basic demographic statistics, which would be included in a final version.

This plan is being provided to Salem and Cumberland County; Freeholders, Colleges and Planning Boards. Copies are being forward to the; Mayors, clerks, planning/zoning boards and Zoning officers of all Salem County municipalities along with Bridgeton and Millville. Additionally, copies are being forwarded to the; DRBA, Millville and New Castle Airports, local hospitals and businesses, and many others including the South Jersey Times and South Jersey News.

Kindly read the master plan once and then go back over making notes of your thoughts, ideas, recommended changes, revisions, additions or omissions. Additionally, under separate heading, please proof for; spelling, grammatical use, sentence structure and run-ons. Please reference page and line numbers with your comments.

I have requested use of the Old Salem County Courthouse, in Salem City, on Thursday, July 19, 2018 at 10:00 am for a public comment and discussion forum on the merits of this plan and direction towards a 2nd draft. I am also available to meet with; boards, committees and councils between now and July 19. Kindly email me with date, time and place. I will RSVP.

Kindly email your RSVP and comments to: eramsAy@comcast.net including your name and any title for return correspondence.

Thank You,

Edward A. Ramsay
473 Buck Road
Pittsgrove, NJ 08318
eramsAy@comcast.net

Table of Contents

1		
2		
3	Executive Summary	4
4	Helpful Thoughts	5
5	Section 1: Identity	
6	Re-discovering the West Jersey Identity	6
7	Branding the Identity	7
8	Las Vegas vs. Atlantic City	8
9	Eminent Domain in Favor of Economic Development	8
10	People and Commerce Drive Success and Well-Being	9
11	Section 2: Housing	9
12	Housing Element	9
13	Historical Value Identity	10
14	Elmer-Pittsgrove Element	11
15	Section 3: Economic Development	14
16	History and Overview	14
17	Salem County Industry	15
18	The Three Cities	16
19	Salem City	18
20	Millville City	21
21	Farming, Tourism and Wineries	21
22	Penns Grove Overlay	22
23	New Castle County, Delaware	23
24	Section 4: Infrastructure	24
25	Salem County Office of Emergency Management	24
26	Airports and Personal Flight	25
27	Salem and Cumberland County Colleges	26
28	Salem and Cumberland County Roadways	28
29	Role of Government	29
30	Section 5: Conclusion	30
31	References	31
32	Author's Bio	32
33		
34		
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41		
42		
43		
44		

Executive Summary

Identity – A transformation that leaves an object unchanged.

This comprehensive Master Plan looks to transform the Greater West Jersey Region (GWJR) from declining; populations, career opportunities, housing stock and towns with swelling budgets into a vibrant region of economic activity and well-being founded on the principal that people, commerce and private equity build communities, facilitated by government.

This plan leaves unchanged the GWJR's identity, values, heritages, faith and farmlands. Towns and cities will transform in appearance, purpose and pride. This plan focuses on Salem County with discussion on Millville and Bridgeton Cities, however all the principals and underlying premises discussed are common to and transferable to most parts of the GWJR.

The first part of this plan will discuss; the definition of Identity and its application to the GWJR, a short bio history of Salem County and its founder John Fenwick, This plan will identify Salem County as part of West Jersey over that of South Jersey and its application in the transformation process. Lastly the section will end with three examples supporting the idea that people, commerce and private equity build communities over government and public money.

The second part of this plan discusses housing stock deficiencies, population migration, methods of correction, preserving the past and future development concerns. The third section will define and narrowly focus the economic strengths and suggest targeted markets of business development within the GWJR.

The fourth section will discuss current and future infrastructure needs along with a discussion on the role of government in facilitating the needs of people and commerce. This plan introduces several bold and achievable goals for individual sections of the region and the region as a whole.

This plan recognizes no boundaries of the GWJR, no impossibilities and no desire to leave New Jersey. More specifically this plan recognizes in addition to Salem and Cumberland Counties, the counties of Gloucester, Cape May and New Castle, Del., as collective members of the GWJR.

The purpose of this plan was best described by Dr. Michael R. Gorman, President of Salem Community College, discussing the aging and declining population of Salem County and the consequences thereof, **"The house is on fire requiring our immediate attention."**

Let's make it happen!

Helpful Thoughts

89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132

Listed below are paraphrased quotes of others which have been helpful in preparing this plan. Although many may not recall their comments, I appreciate each one for the value and guidance they have provided. I list them first, so the reader will benefit from them, just as I have. Thank You.....

The house is on fire requiring our immediate attention. Dr. Michael R. Gorman, President of Salem Community College. Speaking 4/18/18 at the Salem County Economic Development Committee meeting regarding Salem County's on going trend of an aging and declining population. This quote provided the impetus to write this plan.

On a Saturday night we all drove our cars into Salem, where everybody else was. The place was always alive with excitement. Lee Ware, Salem County Freeholder, speaking one evening about the vibrancy Salem City exuded in the 50's and 60's.

Well, we have a trestle, now what?Salem County Freeholder Director Julie Acton, speaking to herself aloud, at the trestle ribbon cutting ceremony. Ronald Reagan spoke the same words to himself when he first sat down in the Oval Office as President

The farms and open space you see in Upper Pittsgrove will look just the same 50 years from now. Mayor Jack Cimprich of Upper Pittsgrove Township.

There are a few historic buildings in Salem I would like to tear down. Charlie Hassler, Salem County Freeholder.

Elmer is an island surrounded by woodlands, farms and waterways. Elmer is a walking town. Joe Stemberger, Mayor of Elmer.

The area offers little to do but work. It is our primary barrier to retaining good doctors. The doctors want to be in vibrant downtown with access to quality entertainment, restaurants and culture venues.Peter Kaprielyan, VP, Government and External Relations, Inspira Health Network, Cumberland County

Bob Vanderslice, Salem County Freeholder Director, for the courage and confident manner in which he submitted Salem County's Amazon HQ2 proposal.

The Borough of Elmer: A fine example of people and commerce facilitated by government, in good times and bad. It is a business friendly town. Ed Ramsay.

Section 1 Identity

Re-discovering the West Jersey Identity

An object without identity is without direction and therefore without purpose. For this reason, an object without identity cannot be transformed leaving the object unchanged.

Although an uncharacteristic manner to begin this plan, the above statements are at the heart of economic development and well-being. The word "Identity" has not only varied definitions, but also several Ivy League scholarly papers written on subject. Let's start with the definitions that will be applied in this plan.

Identity:

1. Qualities, beliefs or characteristics that make a particular person, place or group different from others.
2. A close similarity or affinity.
3. As we see ourselves.
4. As others see us.
5. A transformation that leaves an object unchanged.
6. An equation (mathematical statement) that is true for every value given to a variable.
7. A sense of self, providing sameness and continuity in personality over time and sometimes disturbed.

The purpose of this plan is to; create economic development, reverse the declining and aging population, increase; education levels, salaries and housing standards and provide well-being of self, without changing our; qualities, beliefs and characteristics. To accomplish this we must first identify who we are and how to express that "Brand" so others see us for our value. If others see us for our value, then economic development and well-being will follow.

This plan takes the position that the identity as West Jersey, was disturbed somewhere along the way. First seen by others, then by ourselves, the identity changed from West Jersey to South Jersey and sometimes referred to as, The Greater Philadelphia Area. The object changed, taking our direction, purpose, economic vitality and well-being.

South Jersey has a negative connotation within the state, with Cumberland and Salem Counties on the low end of the scale. New Jersey has a negative connotation throughout the country, especially in business where; taxes, regulation and bureaucracy create a barrier to entry. We must transform this identity as seen by ourselves and others.

This plan found the West Jersey identity by looking back in time. The treatise which conveyed Salem County from the Lenni-Lenape Indians to John Fenwick described the property as located in West Jersey, at the time a colony of England. South Jersey as we know it, were two colonies known as West and East Jersey, a third colony represented much of what we know as North Jersey. Upon ratifying the United State Constitution the three colonies were merged into the State of New Jersey.

176 Does **West** Jersey convey to you; open space, opportunity, self-reliance and motivation, a sense
177 of adventure, a pioneer, a farmer, a visionary, a businessman of calculated risk. This plan
178 believes West Jersey conveys all that John Fenwick was. John built a community in
179 Massachusetts, packed the wagon for New York doing the same, again packing the wagon for
180 West Jersey.

181
182 This plan draws this conclusion of identity not in the interest of separatism, border wars or
183 disrespect to others; it simply looked at who the area is, with the interpretive answer being
184 West Jersey. This plan began as an economic development plan for Salem County, concluding
185 the identity of Salem County was West Jersey. Recognizing West Jersey is much larger than just
186 Salem County, the scope widened.

187
188 For purposes of this plan, West Jersey has no borders and specifically includes Salem,
189 Gloucester, Cumberland and Cape May Counties in New Jersey and New Castle County,
190 Delaware as collective members. For this reason the plan designates the area as the Greater
191 West Jersey Region (GWJR.) This plan concentrates on the County of Salem with descriptive
192 narratives, whose principals can be applied throughout the GWJR.

193
194 The economic Development section of this plan will further expand on the virtues of the West
195 Jersey identity in areas of; branding, defining markets of new business and being identified by
196 others as a place where people and commerce drive success and well-being, facilitated by
197 government.

198
199 We are not from South Jersey; in fact there is not and never was a South Jersey. South Jersey is
200 a nickname derived of negative connotation. This plan will transform that identity.

201

202

Branding the Identity

203 Economic Development is all about marketing a product to a consumer who identifies with the
204 product, the company and/or the brand that it represents. Identity = Brand, therefore
205 economic development is the art of marketing a brand to those who themselves identify with
206 the brand being marketed. A consumer of cowboy hats will identify themselves with the
207 Stetson brand hat, but probably not a company who sells bonnets. An athlete will identify
208 themselves with the Nike brand of sneakers, but probably not the Buster and Brown brand of
209 shoes. Therefore, Economic Development begins with **branding** the product and charting a
210 course of marketing **direction** that reaches the consumer who finds **purpose** in the product.

211

212 The **West** Jersey brand projects; wide open opportunity, a pioneering spirit to do things others
213 only dream of, the ability to exercise free will in pursuit of one's happiness and views of endless
214 horizons. **South** Jersey conveys; a lesser citizen, backwards thinking, poverty stricken or an
215 uneducated populace. Therefore, successful marketing of the GWJR brand must convey;
216 confidence in the value of the region, an open mind to new people and commerce activity, a
217 willingness to adapt to the needs of others and a spirit of mutual benefit. People and
218 commerce will identify with this brand, wanting to be a part of it. Economic development will
219 ensue.

Las Vegas vs. Atlantic City

220
221 An example of private money over public money is comparing the Las Vegas gaming industry to
222 that of the Atlantic City gaming industry. Las Vegas grew out of one businessman's vision, seen
223 driving over a mountain pass into a vast open desert, to build a thriving city of; gaming,
224 entertainment and a lifestyle that kept secrets. People, commerce and private equity built Las
225 Vegas; the government came later to facilitate the city's growing needs of infrastructure and
226 oversight.

227
228 In the 1980's the seedy face of Las Vegas lost its appeal. So; people, commerce and private
229 equity went about transforming Las Vegas, in a matter of years, into a respected "Family"
230 destination of gaming and entertainment. The industry did not look to or wait on the City of
231 Las Vegas or the State of Nevada for tax abatement programs and shared financing. Private
232 equity invested in itself, responding to the market demands of commerce. Las Vegas
233 transformed itself leaving its identity unchanged, that of a "gaming and entertainment city." A
234 free and competitive market operates more efficiently and cost effectively than does a
235 government driven market.

236
237 Atlantic City on the other hand was envisioned by government ambitions of endless taxable
238 wealth. The state amendment to the constitution was so overrun with state bureaucracy,
239 taxes, surcharges, public bonding, regulatory boards and undue costly limitations and control
240 placed upon the casino operators, no one had a chance. The entry level investment to gaming
241 was in the hundreds of millions of dollars, without consideration for the Mom and Pop gaming
242 hall or the others in between. Communities do not prosper with single menu "big box"
243 establishments only.

244
245 If Atlantic City had been given control of gaming rather than the state, private equity would
246 have built a thriving gaming town like Las Vegas. Many of the initial operators were Las Vegas
247 operators.

248
249 After 40 years of gaming in Atlantic City, there are no more than six to twelve casinos in
250 operation at any given time. 10 casinos do not support 40 years of publicly bonding one
251 government vision after the other. From Merv Griffin to Donald Trump and the many since and
252 in between, no one has made money off the core business of gaming in Atlantic City. To the
253 credit of the gaming industry, Atlantic City has seen some of the best casino operators from
254 around the world roll the dice on the city, only to lose. Public money finances political dreams
255 where private money finances people and commerce.

Eminent Domain in Favor of Economic Development

256
257
258 When a developer proposes a project that requires the municipality to do; a, b, c and d first,
259 this plan suggests the municipality tell the developer to find another project. Eminent Domain
260 is not a tool of economic development. When used in economic development it usually turns
261 out bad. Two cases in point:

263 Westville Borough in Gloucester County was presented with a waterfront development of
264 condominiums and storefront retail that would transform Westville for the better, so long as
265 Westville first took by Eminent Domain the waterfront homes from longtime residents and raze
266 them. Westville did just that. The developer then elected not to move forward with the
267 project. Westville has no riverfront homes, lost the tax ratable the homes were contributing,
268 and the residents themselves. The developer suffered no loss.

269
270 Swedesboro, also in Gloucester County, envisioned a new and modern downtown. To do this
271 they took by Eminent Domain a large section of the downtown, tore down buildings except one
272 large building that has serious contamination issues from previous manufacturing operations.
273 Swedesboro, thinking a developer would buy out the property, mitigate the contamination and
274 build whatever Swedesboro thought would be best for the sight. The sight remains an empty
275 lot, except for the contaminated, vacant and deteriorating building.

276
277 For these and similar scenarios throughout the country, this plan strongly urges municipalities
278 not involve themselves with projects requiring municipal expenditure; of time, money or use of
279 government powers without binding commitment to the project by the developer, with stiff
280 pre-paid financial penalties in case of default by the developer!

281 **People and Commerce Drive Success and Well-being**

282 John D. Rockefeller put gas in everyone's home, business and car.

283 American Meter Company put water in everyone's home and business.

284 Thomas Edison put a lightbulb in everyone's home and business.

285 Alexander Graham Bell put a telephone in everyone's home and business.

286 Henry Ford almost put an airplane in everyone's driveway.

287 Campbell's Soup and Seabrook Farms put canned food in everyone's cupboard.

288 RCA put a radio and television in everyone's home and business.

289 The lowest competitive bidder of 1000's of separate contracts put a man on the moon.

290 Steve Jobs and IBM put a computer in everyone's home and business.

291 Jeff Bezos put a package on everyone's doorstep.

292 **Who and what is next?**

293 **Section 2: Housing**

294 **Housing Element**

295
296
297 Plan after plan for Salem County has recognized as a barrier to retaining and attracting new
298 residents is the overall poor and functionally obsolete housing stock, most notably in Salem
299 City, Pennsville, Carneys Point and Penns Grove (BURBS). Much of this stock was built in quick
300 expansion periods during WWI and WWII in support of the war efforts. Although new and
301 spacious for the times and nothing to be ashamed of now, their small lots and house sizes are
302 functionally obsolete for the needs of a modern household. Houses with three tiny bedrooms,
303 a bath, kitchen and living room just does not cut the mustard anymore.

304
305 Unless the housing issue is addressed by the BURBS, migration will continue escalating into
306 hazardous territory for those left behind. Additionally, the trend of migrating west to east

307 within the county places the burden of development on the farm communities, effectively
308 producing a net negative effect on both towns. Salem County residents including those in the
309 BURBS, do not want to see development in the farm communities, they want to see it in BURBS,
310 where it belongs, where they grew up and where they identify themselves with. They also look
311 to share that experience with new residents. They want to believe better days are ahead for
312 their towns, giving some reason to stay. The governing bodies of the BURBS must lead the
313 housing transformation charge.

314

315 Razing of homes, enlarging lot sizes and expanding structure footprints is necessary to
316 transform the housing stock. Towns must jump start that process by foreclosing on vacant
317 municipal held tax lien certificates then razing and selling the property. Exercising Property
318 Maintenance Ordinances each town has to encourage renovation or seek to foreclose the
319 vacant properties not adhering to the code. Additionally, the BURBS need to inventory
320 currently owned municipal property for potential sale to the public. For example, a vacant lot
321 between two houses can be sold to adjoining property owners. Ideally, if two properties split
322 the one lot in the middle, both owners increase their land size allowing for a family room, or
323 garage, or a swing set in the yard. Properties of good size should be sold with an expectation
324 the Buyer will be able to use the lot for their intended purpose. There is no sense in selling that
325 which cannot be used. Additionally, the future added value of each lot exceeds current market
326 value.

327

328 This plan does not condone the use of Eminent Domain. The tax lien foreclosure and code
329 enforcement processes are simpler, less costly, time efficient and becomes routine to a
330 municipality over time. This plan also does not condone targeting occupied properties; they
331 will come along in time.

332

333 A municipal held tax lien foreclosure filing can begin six months after the tax sale. The
334 foreclosure process for municipalities is streamlined over that of a traditional foreclosure
335 allowing the municipality to initiate one filing containing one or more properties with the
336 expectation of completing the process within a year of the tax sale. Most municipalities should
337 only need to take action on a limited number of properties, as the market will quickly react to
338 favorable development opportunities, provided the municipalities focus on redevelopment
339 rather than bureaucracy.

340

341

Identity of Historical Value

342 Although this plan calls for wholesale razing of buildings, this plan also recognizes the value of
343 the past and the structures thereof, require preservation for future generations. Without such
344 structures and the understanding of their significance to the GWJR, identity will pass from
345 memory again. Salem County currently maintains over 100 such historical buildings and sights.

346

347 So the questions become, what do you preserve and what must let pass to history? This plan
348 looks to John Fenwick in making these difficult decisions. John packed his wagon up when he
349 came west. The wagon only held so much and John, like us now, had to decide what needed to

350 be packed and what must pass. This tells us, we can't take everything. However, unlike John,
351 we are not going anywhere, so we can take along far more the he.

352
353 To answer these questions, sites and structures must be identified and valued. We look to the
354 equation of identity. "An equation that is true for every value given to a variable." So each
355 structure worthy of consideration must be valued upon a fixed scale that holds true for any
356 equation. Example, if all historical structures and sites were valued between one and ten (one
357 being the highest historical value), then a request to raze would insert the historical value of
358 the building into the equation that determines the value of a project requiring the razing of a
359 valued building or site. A value 1 structure (Salem County Old Courthouse for instance) would
360 carry more weight not to approve than a value 8 structure.

361
362 A value 8 structure would also hold weight on a request that is not of significant value to the
363 betterment of the city. A value 8 has high historical value not to approve if the applicant simply
364 wants green grass compared to a request for new office space which includes adjacent lots that
365 have no assigned value.

366
367 There are many variables that must be considered in assigning a value which include, but not
368 limited to; Age, location, why is it historical, how many other like structures exist, was it owned
369 by a historical person, did the structure play a significant part in an historical event, how many
370 times has it been altered, what is the cost of preserving and maintaining, is it significant to one
371 or two generations or many, is it significant in the totality of transformation, will it really be
372 missed, can it be moved and the questions go on.

373
374 Although this is not an absolute method in determining what should or should not be saved, it
375 provides an objective approach in making difficult subjective decisions when they present
376 themselves.

377

378 **Elmer-Pittsgrove Element**

379 Elmer, a designated a Town Center to Pittsgrove and Upper Pittsgrove Townships under the
380 State Master Plan, is a residential town encompassing .877sq. miles with 1395 residents. Elmer
381 is located on the eastern side of the county, bounded by Elmer Lake and Pittsgrove Township to
382 the east and south, Upper Pittsgrove to the north and west. Elmer's history is that of a thriving
383 business town where merchants served the farm industry needs of the area through the 1970's.
384 The grain elevator which stood 110' in the center of town for 70 years was recently razed. The
385 elevator razing, which is applauded in this plan, makes way for future development uses that
386 will serve Elmer's future, as the elevator did the past.

387

388 Elmer is attracting small business back to the community's downtown area. A Dollar Store has
389 replaced the hardware store, Eric Kresse Electric occupies the former Ford dealership and a
390 motorcycle fabrication/repair shop in the former auto parts store on State Street. Long time
391 established family businesses like Bostwick Lumber and Harz Tire Service continue to serve
392 Elmer's needs, now in their 4th and 5th generations.

393 However, Elmer lacks public sewer. Elmer is 100% septic and needs to change. This plan
394 encourages Elmer to seek a public sewer system, locally, regionally or a US EPA approved
395 Community Package Plant (CPP) system. This plan rates Elmer's housing stock in the moderate
396 range. Elmer's stock is mostly single family homes with many converted to multi-family use.
397 Although several stately homes exist, the majority are common midsize homes of their time
398 period. This plan considers the lack of public sewer not only a barrier to new residents, but
399 makes the assumption the buyer is shocked to learn public sewer is not available. A single
400 family septic system averages about \$30,000 and with Elmer's limited property sizes, costs can
401 be greater. Given regulations are only going to tighten and costs are only going to rise, Elmer
402 has reached the point where public sewer is necessary, cost effective and of value to the health
403 and welfare of the community.

404
405 Additionally, newly mandated design standards are so out of hand; this plan points to 118Front
406 Street, Elmer as an example of what is coming to a septic bed nearby. A small three bedroom
407 ranch home across from the IGA supermarket, the front yard has 2 clean outs protruding from
408 the ground, 2 man hole covers, an 18" cubed control shelter, an electronics box, a power plant
409 box to feed the electronics box, a mounting pole to hold the boxes, a warning placard sign and a
410 bunch of green indicator lights letting you know you good to go.

411
412 This plan recommends Elmer explore the viability of selling the sewer rights of; ownership,
413 construction and maintenance thereof to private industry, retaining royalty rights to the
414 system's expansion. A sale to private industry should result in roughly the same monthly bill to
415 the residents while providing Elmer with a cash windfall. This plan recommends any windfall
416 received should be committed to a sidewalk reconstruction project. A sidewalk reconstruction
417 project would be consistent with and in furtherance of Elmer's identity of a "walking town."

418
419 **Pittsgrove Township** located on the eastern most side of Salem County has steadily developed
420 from an all farm community to rural residential since the 1970's. 50% of the population is new
421 to Pittsgrove since 2000. Approximately 29,000 acres in size, Pittsgrove has 8,400 acres of
422 farmland including 3,500 acres under farmland preservation.

423
424 The Pittsgrove Master Plan lists 5 population centers (villages) where future development is
425 desired. The plan recognizes individual road frontage development has steadily increased
426 which the plan wishes to ebb, favoring open country views along the many rural single lane
427 roads. The Master Plan calls for cluster housing centered in the villages. However, the plan
428 recognizes the barrier to cluster housing is no public water and sewer is available. One of the
429 villages has contaminated water. Public gas is increasingly available with an expansion program
430 by the gas company over the last several years

431
432 Commercially, Pittsgrove designates the north side of Route 56 and south side of Route 40 as
433 developable areas for commercial and industrial zones. The Route 56 section is designated a
434 re-development zone. The Township has submitted a designation application within the Salem
435 County Wastewater Treatment Plan of 2017 for a Future Wastewater Service Area (FWSA) to

436 service the Route 56 re-development. Sewer would extend eastward along Route 56 crossing
437 Route 55, connecting into the Vineland system.

438
439 The 2016 Master Plan Re-Exam recognizes demographics are changing and retail commercial
440 zones are taking place along Centerton Road from Route 540 north to Route 553 and Sheep Pen
441 Roads.

442
443 Pittsgrove's growth over other Salem County towns is geographical in nature. The township has
444 five (5) points of entry off of Route 55 stretching over three (3) counties. Beginning in
445 Gloucester County at Exit 45 (route 553 Buck Road) running south to Exit 00 (Route 56 Landis
446 Avenue) in Vineland.

447
448 With easy access to Route 55 and better jobs north, Pittsgrove is increasingly a bedroom
449 community for the commuter. Pittsgrove is a nice rural area to live, with higher than average
450 statistics in almost all categories including; schools, education levels and income levels. The
451 average median age is an affluent 43 years. Ninety percent (90%) of Pittsgrove's housing is
452 owner occupied.

453
454 Overall, Pittsgrove Township's Master Plan and Re-Exam are written to meet expectancies of
455 growth. However, if Pittsgrove Township does not provide for water and sewer extending
456 outward from the villages, the next growth cycle could lead to uncontrolled road frontage
457 housing and numerous cul-de-sac and non-contiguous developments.

458
459 Options to address water and sewer are to connect into Vineland's system from Routes 540,
460 Garden Road and Willow Grove Road. Three of the villages border Vineland with XXXX road
461 running north and south through the villages. The installation of CPP's in each village to meet
462 waste demand is another option. Given that water is far less costly to install over long distance
463 than sewer, we suggest looking at the viability of hooking into Elmer's water system in addition
464 to Vineland. And this plan strongly suggests bidding the rights to the systems to private
465 industry.

466
467 This plan supports Pittsgrove's vision of the 5 villages and suggests growth come with
468 contiguous streets and perpendicular intersections furthering the State's Master Plan vision of a
469 "Community of Place." To further support the village concept, we suggest Pittsgrove
470 incorporate neighborhood commercial zoning to support the villages. Said commercial zoning
471 should be single unit structures furthering the neighborhood concept.

472 This plan concurs and agrees with Pittsgrove's desire to encourage growth and maintain its
473 rural country identity.

474
475
476
477
478
479